

Geometric properties of random matrices with independent log-concave rows/columns

Radosław Adamczak

University of Warsaw & Fields Institute

Workshop on Asymptotic Geometric Analysis and Convexity
Toronto, September 2010

Based on joint work with
O. Guédon, A. Litvak, A. Pajor, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann

Isotropy, the ψ_α condition

- A random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n is **isotropic** if

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0$$

Isotropicity, the ψ_α condition

- A random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n is **isotropic** if

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}X \otimes X = \text{Id}$$

Isotropicity, the ψ_α condition

- A random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n is **isotropic** if

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}X \otimes X = \text{Id}$$

or equivalently for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, y \rangle^2 = |y|^2.$$

Isotropicity, the ψ_α condition

- A random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n is **isotropic** if

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}X \otimes X = \text{Id}$$

or equivalently for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, y \rangle^2 = |y|^2.$$

- X is ψ_α ($\alpha \in [1, 2]$) with constant C if for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\langle X, y \rangle\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq C|y|,$$

where

$$\|Y\|_{\psi_\alpha} = \inf\{a > 0: \mathbb{E} \exp((Y/a)^\alpha) \leq 2\}$$

Consequences

Consequences

- $\mathbb{E}|X|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\langle X, e_j \rangle^2 = n$

Consequences

- $\mathbb{E}|X|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\langle X, e_j \rangle^2 = n$
- For any $y \in S^{n-1}$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\langle X, y \rangle| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp(-(t/C)^\alpha).$$

Consequences

- $\mathbb{E}|X|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\langle X, e_j \rangle^2 = n$
- For any $y \in S^{n-1}$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\langle X, y \rangle| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp(-(t/C)^\alpha).$$

Fact

For every random vector X not supported on any $n - 1$ dimensional hyperplane, there exists an affine map $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that TX is isotropic.

Consequences

- $\mathbb{E}|X|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\langle X, e_j \rangle^2 = n$
- For any $y \in S^{n-1}$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\langle X, y \rangle| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp(-(t/C)^\alpha).$$

Fact

For every random vector X not supported on any $n - 1$ dimensional hyperplane, there exists an affine map $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that TX is isotropic.

If for a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the random vector distributed uniformly on K is isotropic, we say that K is isotropic.

Log-concavity

A random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n is log-concave if its law μ satisfies a Brunn-Minkowski type inequality

$$\mu(\theta A + (1 - \theta)B) \geq \mu(A)^\theta \mu(B)^{1-\theta}.$$

Theorem (Borell)

A random vector not supported on any $(n - 1)$ dimensional hyperplane is log-concave iff it has density of the form $\exp(-V(x))$, where $V: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is convex.

Lemma (Borell)

An isotropic log-concave random vector is ψ_1 with a universal constant C .

Examples

The following distributions are log-concave:

- Gaussian measures
- Uniform distributions on convex bodies
- Measures with density of the form $C \exp(-\|x\|)$, where $\|x\|$ is a norm.
- Products, affine images and convolutions of the above distributions.

The basic model

Definition

Let Γ be an $n \times N$ matrix with columns X_1, \dots, X_N , where X_i 's are independent isotropic log-concave random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

Questions

The basic model

Definition

Let Γ be an $n \times N$ matrix with columns X_1, \dots, X_N , where X_i 's are independent isotropic log-concave random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

Questions

- What is the operator norm of $\Gamma: \ell_2^N \rightarrow \ell_2^n$?

The basic model

Definition

Let Γ be an $n \times N$ matrix with columns X_1, \dots, X_N , where X_i 's are independent isotropic log-concave random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

Questions

- What is the operator norm of $\Gamma: \ell_2^N \rightarrow \ell_2^n$?
- When is Γ^T close to a multiple of isometry?

The basic model

Definition

Let Γ be an $n \times N$ matrix with columns X_1, \dots, X_N , where X_i 's are independent isotropic log-concave random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

Questions

- What is the operator norm of $\Gamma: \ell_2^N \rightarrow \ell_2^n$?
- When is Γ^T close to a multiple of isometry?
- How does Γ (Γ^T) act on sparse vectors?

The basic model

Definition

Let Γ be an $n \times N$ matrix with columns X_1, \dots, X_N , where X_i 's are independent isotropic log-concave random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

Questions

- What is the operator norm of $\Gamma: \ell_2^N \rightarrow \ell_2^n$?
- When is Γ^T close to a multiple of isometry?
- How does Γ (Γ^T) act on sparse vectors?
- What is the smallest singular value of Γ ?

The basic model

Definition

Let Γ be an $n \times N$ matrix with columns X_1, \dots, X_N , where X_i 's are independent isotropic log-concave random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

Questions

- What is the operator norm of $\Gamma: \ell_2^N \rightarrow \ell_2^n$?
- When is Γ^T close to a multiple of isometry?
- How does Γ (Γ^T) act on sparse vectors?
- What is the smallest singular value of Γ ?
- What is the distribution of singular values /eigenvalues of Γ ?

Problem

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body, s.t. $B_2^n \subseteq K \subseteq RB_2^n$. Assume we have access to an oracle (a black box), which given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ tells us whether $x \in K$.

Problem

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body, s.t. $B_2^n \subseteq K \subseteq RB_2^n$. Assume we have access to an oracle (a black box), which given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ tells us whether $x \in K$.

How to generate random points uniformly distributed in K ?

How to compute the volume of K ?

Problem

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body, s.t. $B_2^n \subseteq K \subseteq RB_2^n$. Assume we have access to an oracle (a black box), which given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ tells us whether $x \in K$.

How to generate random points uniformly distributed in K ?

How to compute the volume of K ?

- This can be done by using Markov chains.
- Their speed of convergence depends on the position of the convex body.
- Preprocessing: First put K in the isotropic position (again by randomized algorithms).

- Centering the body is not comp. difficult – takes $\mathcal{O}(n)$ steps.
- The question boils down to:

How to approximate the covariance matrix of X - uniformly distributed on K by the empirical covariance matrix

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i.$$

or (after a linear transformation)

- Centering the body is not comp. difficult – takes $\mathcal{O}(n)$ steps.
- The question boils down to:

How to approximate the covariance matrix of X - uniformly distributed on K by the empirical covariance matrix

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i.$$

or (after a linear transformation)

Given an isotropic convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , how large N should we take so that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i - Id \right\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$$

with high probability?

Interpretation in terms of Γ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i - Id \right\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - 1 \right| \\ &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} |\Gamma^T y|^2 - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

Interpretation in terms of Γ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i - Id \right\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - 1 \right| \\ &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} |\Gamma^T y|^2 - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

So the (geometric) question is

Interpretation in terms of Γ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i - Id \right\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - 1 \right| \\ &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} |\Gamma^T y|^2 - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

So the (geometric) question is

Let Γ be a matrix with independent columns X_1, \dots, X_N drawn from an isotropic convex body (log-concave measure) in \mathbb{R}^n .

Interpretation in terms of Γ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i - Id \right\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - 1 \right| \\ &= \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{1}{N} |\Gamma^T y|^2 - 1 \right|\end{aligned}$$

So the (geometric) question is

Let Γ be a matrix with independent columns X_1, \dots, X_N drawn from an isotropic convex body (log-concave measure) in \mathbb{R}^n .

How large should N be so that $N^{-1/2} \Gamma^T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ was an almost isometry?

History of the problem

- Kannan, Lovasz, Simonovits (1995) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- Bourgain (1996) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log^3 n)$
- Rudelson (1999) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log^2 n)$
- Giannopoulos, Hartzoulaki, Tsolomitis (2005) – unconditional bodies: $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$
- Aubrun (2006) – unconditional bodies: $N = \mathcal{O}(n)$
- Paouris (2006) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$
- Litvak, Pajor, Tomczak-Jaegermann, R.A. (2008) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n)$

History of the problem

- Kannan, Lovasz, Simonovits (1995) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- Bourgain (1996) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log^3 n)$
- Rudelson (1999) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log^2 n)$
- Giannopoulos, Hartzoulaki, Tsolomitis (2005) – unconditional bodies: $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$
- Aubrun (2006) – unconditional bodies: $N = \mathcal{O}(n)$
- Paouris (2006) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$
- Litvak, Pajor, Tomczak-Jaegermann, R.A. (2008) – $N = \mathcal{O}(n)$

For arbitrary isotropic random vectors, if you do not assume any uniform bound on $\langle X_i, y \rangle$, $y \in S^{n-1}$, you cannot remove the logarithm (the optimal bound $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log^\beta n)$ is due to M. Rudelson). Recently $N = \mathcal{O}(n \log \log n)$ was proven under a uniform bound on $(4 + \varepsilon)$ -th moments of $\langle X_i, y \rangle$ (R. Vershynin).

Theorem (A refined estimate, ALPT 2010)

Assume that $N \geq n$. Then with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c\sqrt{n})$ one has

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \otimes X_i - 1 \right\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}}.$$

Remark

Previous estimates (ALPT 2008) had an additional $\log(N/n)$ factor.

Remark

If $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\Gamma^T$ is an almost isometry then obviously $\|\Gamma\| \leq C\sqrt{N}$, so the KLS question and the question about $\|\Gamma\|$ are related.

Remark

If $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\Gamma^T$ is an almost isometry then obviously $\|\Gamma\| \leq C\sqrt{N}$, so the KLS question and the question about $\|\Gamma\|$ are related.

It turns out that to answer KLS it is enough to have good bounds on

$$A_m := \sup_{\substack{z \in S^{N-1} \\ |\text{supp } z| \leq m}} |\Gamma z|$$

Remark

If $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\Gamma^T$ is an almost isometry then obviously $\|\Gamma\| \leq C\sqrt{N}$, so the KLS question and the question about $\|\Gamma\|$ are related.

It turns out that to answer KLS it is enough to have good bounds on

$$A_m := \sup_{\substack{z \in S^{N-1} \\ |\text{supp } z| \leq m}} |\Gamma z|$$

Theorem (Litvak, Pajor, Tomczak-Jaegermann, R.A. (2008))

If $N \leq \exp(c\sqrt{n})$ and the vectors X_i are log-concave then for $t > 1$, with probability at least $1 - \exp(-ct\sqrt{n})$,

$$\forall_{m \leq N} A_m \leq Ct \left(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \log \left(\frac{2N}{m} \right) \right).$$

In particular, with high probability $\|\Gamma\| \leq C(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{N})$.

Sketch of the proof

A modification of Bourgain's approach. One approximates an arbitrary vector z with $|\text{supp } z| \leq m$ by $x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_l$ ($l < \log_2 m$), where

$$|\text{supp } x_i| \simeq m/2^i, \quad \|x_i\|_\infty \simeq \sqrt{2^i/m}, \quad i \geq 1$$
$$|\text{supp } x_0| \simeq m/2^l, \quad \|x_0\|_\infty \leq 1$$

and x_i comes from a 2^{-i} -net in the set of sparse vectors of support at most $m/2^i$.

Sketch of the proof

A modification of Bourgain's approach. One approximates an arbitrary vector z with $|\text{supp } z| \leq m$ by $x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_l$ ($l < \log_2 m$), where

$$|\text{supp } x_i| \simeq m/2^i, \quad \|x_i\|_\infty \simeq \sqrt{2^i/m}, \quad i \geq 1$$
$$|\text{supp } x_0| \simeq m/2^l, \quad \|x_0\|_\infty \leq 1$$

and x_i comes from a 2^{-i} -net in the set of sparse vectors of support at most $m/2^i$.

Then using the ψ_1 condition one shows that with high probability

$$A_m^2 \lesssim \max_i |X_i|^2 + A_m(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \log(2N/m)).$$

Sketch of the proof

A modification of Bourgain's approach. One approximates an arbitrary vector z with $|\text{supp } z| \leq m$ by $x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_l$ ($l < \log_2 m$), where

$$|\text{supp } x_i| \simeq m/2^i, \quad \|x_i\|_\infty \simeq \sqrt{2^i/m}, \quad i \geq 1$$
$$|\text{supp } x_0| \simeq m/2^l, \quad \|x_0\|_\infty \leq 1$$

and x_i comes from a 2^{-i} -net in the set of sparse vectors of support at most $m/2^i$.

Then using the ψ_1 condition one shows that with high probability

$$A_m^2 \lesssim \max_i |X_i|^2 + A_m(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \log(2N/m)).$$

Theorem (G. Paouris)

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq Ct\sqrt{n}) \leq \exp(-ct\sqrt{n})$$

Sketch of the proof

A modification of Bourgain's approach. One approximates an arbitrary vector z with $|\text{supp } z| \leq m$ by $x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_l$ ($l < \log_2 m$), where

$$|\text{supp } x_i| \simeq m/2^i, \quad \|x_i\|_\infty \simeq \sqrt{2^i/m}, \quad i \geq 1$$
$$|\text{supp } x_0| \simeq m/2^l, \quad \|x_0\|_\infty \leq 1$$

and x_i comes from a 2^{-i} -net in the set of sparse vectors of support at most $m/2^i$.

Then using the ψ_1 condition one shows that with high probability

$$A_m^2 \lesssim \max_i |X_i|^2 + A_m(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \log(2N/m)).$$

Theorem (G. Paouris)

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq Ct\sqrt{n}) \leq \exp(-ct\sqrt{n})$$

Thus $\max_i |X_i| \leq C\sqrt{n}$ with high probability and we can solve the inequality for A_m .

Compressed sensing and neighbourly polytopes

Imagine we have a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (N large), which is supported on a small number of coordinates (say $|\text{supp } x| = m \ll N$).

Compressed sensing and neighbourly polytopes

Imagine we have a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (N large), which is supported on a small number of coordinates (say $|\text{supp } x| = m \ll N$).

If we knew the support of x , to determine x it would be enough to take m measurements along basis vectors.

Compressed sensing and neighbourly polytopes

Imagine we have a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (N large), which is supported on a small number of coordinates (say $|\text{supp } x| = m \ll N$).

If we knew the support of x , to determine x it would be enough to take m measurements along basis vectors.

What if we don't know the support?

Compressed sensing and neighbourly polytopes

Imagine we have a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (N large), which is supported on a small number of coordinates (say $|\text{supp } x| = m \ll N$).

If we knew the support of x , to determine x it would be enough to take m measurements along basis vectors.

What if we don't know the support?

Answer (Donoho, Candes, Tao, Romberg) Take measurements in random directions Y_1, \dots, Y_n and set

$$\hat{x} = \operatorname{argmin} \{ \|y\|_1 : \langle Y_i, y \rangle = \langle Y_i, x \rangle \}$$

Definition

A polytope $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **m -neighbourly** if any set of vertices of K of cardinality at most $m + 1$ is the vertex set of a face.

Definition

A (centrally symmetric) polytope $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **m -(symmetric)-neighbourly** if any set of vertices of K of cardinality at most $m + 1$ (containing no opposite pairs) is the vertex set of a face.

Compressed sensing and neighbourly polytopes

Definition

A (centrally symmetric) polytope $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called m -**(symmetric)-neighbourly** if any set of vertices of K of cardinality at most $m + 1$ (containing no opposite pairs) is the vertex set of a face.

Theorem (Donoho)

Let Γ be an $n \times N$ matrix with columns X_1, \dots, X_N . The following conditions are equivalent

- (i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\text{supp } x| \leq m$, x is the unique solution of the minimization problem

$$\min \|t\|_1, \quad \Gamma t = \Gamma x.$$

- (ii) The polytope $K(\Gamma) = \text{conv}(\pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_N)$ has $2N$ vertices and is m -symmetric-neighbourly.

Definition (Restricted Isometry Property (Candes, Tao))

For an $n \times N$ matrix Γ define the **isometry constant** $\delta_m = \delta_m(\Gamma)$ as the smallest number such that

$$(1 - \delta_m)|x|^2 \leq |\Gamma x|^2 \leq (1 + \delta_m)|x|^2$$

for all m -sparse vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Compressed sensing and neighbourly polytopes

Definition (Restricted Isometry Property (Candes, Tao))

For an $n \times N$ matrix Γ define the **isometry constant** $\delta_m = \delta_m(\Gamma)$ as the smallest number such that

$$(1 - \delta_m)|x|^2 \leq |\Gamma x|^2 \leq (1 + \delta_m)|x|^2$$

for all m -sparse vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Theorem (Candes)

If $\delta_{2m}(\Gamma) < \sqrt{2} - 1$ then for every m -sparse $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, x is the unique solution to

$$\min \|t\|_1, \quad \Gamma t = \Gamma x.$$

In consequence, the polytope $K(\Gamma)$ (resp. $K_+(\Gamma) = \text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_N)$) is m -symmetric-neighbourly (resp. m -neighbourly)

The following matrices satisfy RIP

- Gaussian matrices (Candes, Tao), $m \simeq n/\log(2N/n)$
- Matrices with rows selected randomly from the Fourier matrix (Candes & Tao, Rudelson & Vershynin), $m \simeq n/\log^4(N)$
- Matrices with independent subgaussian isotropic rows (Mendelson, Pajor, Tomczak-Jaegermann), $m \simeq n/\log(2N/n)$
- Matrices with independent log-concave isotropic columns (LPTA), $m \simeq n/\log^2(2N/n)$

Neighbourly polytopes

Theorem (LPTA)

Assume that X_i 's are ψ_r . Let $\theta \in (0, 1/4)$ and assume that $N \leq \exp(c\theta^C n^c)$ and $m \log^{2/r} \left(\frac{2N}{\theta m} \right) \leq \theta^2 n$. Then, with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c\theta^C n^c)$

$$\delta_m \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Gamma \right) \leq \theta.$$

Corollary (LPTA)

Let X_1, \dots, X_N be random vectors drawn from an isotropic ψ_r ($r \in [1, 2]$) convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then, for $N \leq \exp(cn^c)$, with probability at least $1 - \exp(-cn^c)$, the polytope $K(\Gamma)$ (resp. $K_+(\Gamma)$) is m -symmetric-neighbourly (resp. m -neighbourly) with

$$m = \lfloor c \frac{n}{\log^{2/r}(CN/n)} \rfloor.$$

We use the same approximation techniques as for the KLS problem to bound

$$B_m = \sup_{|\text{supp } z| \leq m, |z|=1} \left| \left| \sum_{i \leq N} z_i X_i \right|^2 - \sum_{i \leq N} z_i^2 |X_i|^2 \right|^{1/2}$$

Theorem (B. Klartag)

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{i \leq N} \left| \frac{|X_i|^2}{n} - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq C \exp(-c\varepsilon^C n^c).$$

Thus

$$\delta_n(n^{-1/2}\Gamma) \leq n^{-1} B_m^2 + \varepsilon$$

with overwhelming probability.

Smallest singular value

Definition

For an $n \times n$ matrix Γ let $s_1(\Gamma) \geq s_2(\Gamma) \geq \dots \geq s_n(\Gamma)$ be the singular values of Γ , i.e. eigenvalues of $\sqrt{\Gamma\Gamma^T}$. In particular

$$s_1(\Gamma) = \|\Gamma\|, \quad s_n(\Gamma) = \inf_{x \in S^{n-1}} |\Gamma x| = \frac{1}{\|\Gamma^{-1}\|}$$

Theorem (Edelman, Szarek)

Let Γ be an $n \times n$ random matrix with independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ entries. Let s_n denote the smallest singular values of Γ . Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\Gamma) \leq \varepsilon n^{-1/2}) \leq C\varepsilon,$$

where C is a universal constant.

Theorem (Rudelson, Vershynin)

Let Γ be a random matrix with independent entries X_{ij} , satisfying $\mathbb{E}X_{ij} = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X_{ij}^2 = 1$, $\|X_{ij}\|_{\psi_2} \leq B$. Then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\Gamma) \leq \varepsilon n^{-1/2}) \leq C\varepsilon + c^n,$$

where $C > 0$, $c \in (0, 1)$ depend only on B .

Theorem (Guédon, Litvak, Pajor, Tomczak-Jaegermann, R.A.)

Let Γ be an $n \times n$ random matrix with independent isotropic log-concave rows. Then, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\Gamma) \leq \varepsilon n^{-1/2}) \leq C\varepsilon + C \exp(-cn^c)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\Gamma) \leq \varepsilon n^{-1/2}) \leq C\varepsilon^{n/(n+2)} \log^C(2/\varepsilon).$$

Corollary

For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists C_δ such that for any n and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\Gamma) \leq \varepsilon n^{-1/2}) \leq C_\delta \varepsilon^{1-\delta}.$$

Definition

For an $n \times n$ matrix Γ define the **condition number** $\kappa(\Gamma)$ as

$$\kappa(\Gamma) = \|\Gamma\| \cdot \|\Gamma^{-1}\| = \frac{s_1(\Gamma)}{s_n(\Gamma)}.$$

Corollary

If Γ has independent isotropic log-concave columns, then for any $\delta > 0$, $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\kappa(\Gamma) \geq nt) \leq \frac{C_\delta}{t^{1-\delta}}.$$

Definition

A random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ is unconditional if its distribution is the same as that of $(\varepsilon_1 X_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N X_N)$ for any choice of signs $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Definition

A random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ is unconditional if its distribution is the same as that of $(\varepsilon_1 X_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N X_N)$ for any choice of signs $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Theorem (LPTA 2010)

Let A be an $n \times N$ matrix with independent log-concave isotropic **unconditional** rows. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and assume that $m \log^2 \left(\frac{2N}{m} \right) \leq \theta^2 n$. Then, with high probability,

$$\delta_m \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} A \right) \leq \theta.$$

Definition

A random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ is unconditional if its distribution is the same as that of $(\varepsilon_1 X_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N X_N)$ for any choice of signs $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Theorem (LPTA 2010)

Let A be an $n \times N$ matrix with independent log-concave isotropic **unconditional** rows. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and assume that $m \log^2 \left(\frac{2N}{m} \right) \leq \theta^2 n$. Then, with high probability,

$$\delta_m \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} A \right) \leq \theta.$$

Tool: A comparison principle for norms of unconditional log-concave vectors by Rafał Łatała.

Asymptotic spectral distribution, singular values

Definition

The empirical spectral distribution of a random $n \times n$ matrix A is the random measure defined as

$$\nu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i},$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A and δ_x is the Dirac mass at x .

Theorem (Marchenko-Pastur 1967)

Let $A_n = (X_{ij})_{i \leq N_n, j \leq n}$, where X_{ij} are i.i.d. mean zero variance one random variables. If $N_n/n \rightarrow y \in (0, \infty)$ then the empirical spectral distribution of $\frac{1}{n} A_n A_n^T$ converges almost surely to a non-random measure depending only on y (the Marchenko-Pastur distribution with parameter y).

Asymptotic spectral distribution, singular values

Definition

The empirical spectral distribution of a random $n \times n$ matrix A is the random measure defined as

$$\nu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i},$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A and δ_x is the Dirac mass at x .

Theorem (Pajor, Pastur 2007)

Let A_n be an $N_n \times n$ random matrix with independent log-concave isotropic rows. If $N_n/n \rightarrow y \in (0, \infty)$, then the empirical spectral distribution of $\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^T$ converges almost surely to the Marchenko-Pastur law with parameter y .

Asymptotic spectral distribution, eigenvalues

Theorem (Circular law (Tao-Vu 2008, Mehta, Girko, Bai ...))

Let A_n be an $n \times n$ matrix with i.i.d. mean zero, variance one entries. Then the empirical spectral distribution of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}A_n$ converges almost surely to the uniform measure on the unit disc.

Asymptotic spectral distribution, eigenvalues

Theorem (Circular law (Tao-Vu 2008, Mehta, Girko, Bai ...))

Let A_n be an $n \times n$ matrix with i.i.d. mean zero, variance one entries. Then the empirical spectral distribution of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}A_n$ converges almost surely to the uniform measure on the unit disc.

Theorem (Adamczak 2010)

Let A_n be an $n \times n$ matrix with independent log-concave isotropic **unconditional** rows. Then the empirical spectral distribution of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}A_n$ converges almost surely to the uniform measure on the unit disc.

Thank you